

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vitginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,083	01/15/2002	Yasunao Miura	111674	2919
25944	7590 02/10/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			FIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A	
P.O. BOX 1 st ALEXAND	9928 RIA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
	,		DATE MAILED: 02/10/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- M
Application No.	Applicant(s)	رباد
10/045,083	MIURA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731	
pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
136(a). In no event, however, may only within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No e. cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communic BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
November 2003.		•
		ts is
vn from consideration.		
	•	
	to by the Everniner	
cepted or b) objected a drawing(s) be held in abe	vance See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
		21(d).
nts have been received. nts have been received i ority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage	e
8) 5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	Examiner Christopher A. Fiorilla Pears on the cover sheet Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 136(a). In no event, however, may only within the statutory minimum of a will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mere, cause the application to become a date of this communication, even the communication is non-final. Indice except for formal management is a communication. For election requirement. For election requirement.	Tol/045,083 MIURA ET AL.

Art Unit: 1731

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-5 in Paper dated 11/13/03 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the subject matter of all claims is sufficiently related that a thorough search for the subject matter of any one group of claims would encompass a search for the subject matter of the remaining claims. This is not found persuasive because although the search for each invention may overlap, a thorough search for each invention would include unique search areas and unique considerations during examination.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 6-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper dated 11/13/03.
- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed (i.e. the elected method).
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1731

5. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1 and 16 of copending Application No. 10/045085. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: the limitations claimed in claim 1 of the instant application are included in claims 1 and 16 of the 10/045085 application

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

6. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, the phrase "the conditions for microwave radiation" has no antecedent basis.

In claim 4, the phrase "the conditions for microwave radiation" is indefinite because it is unclear as to what conditions are changed. No conditions are previously discussed in the claims.

It appears as though the dependency of claim 5 is inaccurate. Claim 5 refers to temperature measurement that is described in claim 4. Thus, it appears as though claim 5 should be dependent on claim 4.

Art Unit: 1731

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 9. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 405124848A in view of Hallier et al. (3,731,036), Nitsche et al. (2003/0140469) and Beall et al. (2003/0012923).

JP 405124848A discloses a process of drying a molded ceramic article. The process disclosed by JP 405124848A includes drying the body by exposing the body to a high humidity ambience (i.e. saturated steam) and irradiating the body with microwaves.

JP 405124848A does not disclose the specific microwave frequency. Hallier et al. discloses that microwaves of frequency 2450 MHz are conventionally used for microwave

Art Unit: 1731

heating and drying (col. 1, lines 5-13). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use microwaves at this frequency in the process of JP 405124848A in view of the generic disclosure therein requiring simply microwave heating.

JP 405124848A does not disclose the specific humidity. Nitsche et al. 2003/0140469 discloses the use of saturated steam to achieve a humidity of almost 100%. See paragraphs [0014] and [0019]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to carry out the process of JP 405124848A under these humidity conditions in view of the generic teachings of JP 405124848A requiring the use of saturated steam.

JP 405124848A does not disclose the specific type of body to be dried. Rather, JP 405124848A generically describes drying wet ceramics. Beall et al. discloses ceramic honeycomb bodies having wall thicknesses (0.069mm) which lie within the claimed range. These bodies are molded and then dried. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to dry the bodies of Beall et al. by the process of JP 405124848A to obtain rapid drying as disclosed by JP 405124848A.

- 10. Claims 4 and 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The prior art of record does not teach or suggest a process of drying honeycomb ceramic bodies as substantially set forth in the claims wherein the temperature of the honeycomb body is

Art Unit: 1731

measured with an infrared radiation thermometer or a laser thermometer or conditions for microwave radiation are changed in accordance with measured temperature.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is (571) 272-1187. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

caf